SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	v	
NOVARTIS PHARMA AG	: :	
Plaintiff,	: :	ORDER 20 Civ. 400 (GHW) (GWG)
INCYTE CORPORATION	:	
Defendant.	: X	

GABRIEL W. GORENSTEIN, United States Magistrate Judge

LINITED STATES DISTRICT COLIRT

- 1. The above-referenced action has been referred to the undersigned for general pre-trial purposes. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A). All pre-trial applications, including those relating to scheduling and discovery, shall be made to the undersigned (except motions to dismiss or for judgment on the pleadings, for injunctive relief, for summary judgment, or for class certification). All applications must comply with this Court's Individual Practices, which are available through the Clerk's Office or at: https://nysd.uscourts.gov/hon-gabriel-w-gorenstein
- 2. The parties should write to the Court at any time that they wish to participate in Court-sponsored mediation.
- 3. All discovery (as well as requests for admissions) must be initiated in time to be concluded by the deadline for all discovery.
- 4. Discovery motions -- that is, any application pursuant to Rules 26 through 37 or 45 -- not only must comply with ¶ 2.A. of the Court's Individual Practices but also must be made promptly after the cause for such a motion arises. In addition, absent extraordinary circumstances no such application will be considered if made later than 30 days prior to the close of discovery. Untimely applications will be denied.
- 5. Any application for an extension of the time limitations with respect to any deadlines in this matter must be made as soon as the cause for the extension becomes known to the party making the application and must be made in accordance with ¶ 1.E of the Court's Individual Practices. The application must state the position of all other parties on the proposed extension and must show good cause therefor not foreseeable as of the date of this Order. "Good cause" as used in this paragraph does not include circumstances within the control of counsel or the party. Any application not in compliance with this paragraph will be denied. Failure to comply with the terms of this Order may also result in sanctions.

6. The Court is aware of the discovery dispute filed as docket ## 99, 101. Defendant's letter is supported by factual material and thus plaintiff should be given the opportunity to file a reply letter. Any such letter shall be filed by March 4, 2022. Because the Court cannot guarantee when the dispute will be resolved, the parties may wish to seek an extension of the fact discovery deadline by complying with paragraph 1.E of the Court's Individual Practices.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 1, 2022

New York, New York

CABRIEL W. CORENSTEIN United States Magistrate Judge